

INDIRA GANDHI INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES,

SHEIKHPURA, PATNA – 800 014 (Bihar, India)
Tel.: 0612 – 2297631, 2297099; Fax: 0612 – 2297225; Website: www.igims.org;
E-Mail: director@igims.org

Annual Performance Assessment Report form Group A (Non- Faculty)

Name of Officer	
Report for the year/Period ending	

Name of the Officer	 Period



INDIRA GANDHI INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES.

SHEIKHPURA, PATNA - 800 014 (Bihar, India) Tel.: 0612 - 2297631, 2297099; Fax: 0612 - 2297225; Website: www.igims.org; **E-Mail: director@igims.org**

	Form					
	Group A (Non- Faculty)					
Repo	ort for the year/period ending					
	PERSONAL DATA	1				
Part-	1 (To be filled by the Administrative Section con	cerned of the IGIMS Office)				
1.	Name of the Officer					
2.	Date of Birth (DD/MM/YYYY)/	(In words)				
3.	Date of continuous appointment to the present grade	Date Grade				
4.	Present Post and date of appointment thereto	Post Date				
5.	Period of absence from duty (on training, leave etc.) during the year. If he has under gone training specify.					

Name of the	Officer	Period
Part – 2	TO BE FILLED IN BY THE ((Please read carefully the instruction)	OFFICER REPORTED UPON ons before filling the entries)
1. Brief d	lescription of duties.	

and your achievement against each target. (Example: Annual Action Plan for your Division). Targets/Objectives/Goals Achievements

2. Please specify targets/objectives/goals (for quantitative or other terms) of work you set for yourself or that were set for you, eight to ten items of work in the order of priority,

Nam	e of th	e Officer.								Р	eriod			
3.	(A) refer	Please : red to in i			-						_	-		/goals
	(B)	Please							there	have	been	signific	cantly	higher
4.	caler	se state v Idar year v alendar ye	was fi	lled w	ithin	the p	resci	ribed o	late i.e	. 31 st Ja	anuary	of the y	-	_
		a.c.iddi y						5 6.76 1	2 (3.11. 3		DIVC			

Numerical grading is to be awarded by report	-	•	hould be on a scale of			
1- 10, where 1 refers to the lowest grade and (Please read carefully the	_		s)			
(A) Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)						
(A) Assessment of work out	put (weighta	ge to this Section wo	Duid be 40%)			
	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority			
i) Accomplishment of planned work/work allotted as per subjects allotted ii) Quality of output						
iii) Analytical ability						
iv) Accomplishment of exceptional work/unforeseen tasks performed Overall Grading on 'Work Output'						
(B) Assessment of personal attr	ributes (weig	htage to this Section	would be 30%)			
	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority			
i) Attitude of work						
ii) Sense of responsibility						
iii) Maintenance of Discipline						
iv) Communication skills						
v) Leadership qualities						
vi) Capacity to work in team spirit						
vii) Capacity to work in time- schedule						
viii)Inter-personal relations						

Period.....

Name of the Officer.....

ix) Overall bearing and personality

Overall Grading on 'Personal attributes'

Part – 3

Name of the Officer		Period	
(C) Assessment of functional com	npetency (we	ightage to this Sect	ion would be 30%)
	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
 i) Professional knowledge in the area of function 		(NEICH and 21 die 3)	
ii) Strategic planning ability			
iii) Decision making ability			
iv) Coordination ability			
v) Ability to motivate and develop subordinates			
vi) Initiative			
Overall Grading on 'Functional Competency'			
Part – 4	GENERA	L	
1. Relations with the public (when the Officer's accomment on the Officer's accomment on the Officer's accommendation with the public (when th			veness to their needs)
 Training (Please give recommendations for training and capabilities of the officer.) 	aining with a v	iew to further improv	ing the effectiveness

Name of the Officer	Period
3. State of Health	
4. Integrity (Please comment on the integrity of th	e officer)
of the officer including area of	er (I about 100 words) on the overall qualities f strengths and lesser strength, extraordinary ures (ref: 3(A) & 3(B) of Part-2) and attitude
6. Overall numerical grading on the Part – 3 of the Report.	e basis of weightage given in section A, B and C in
	Signature of the Reporting Officer
	Name in Block Letters:
Place:	Designation:
Date:	During the period of Report:

Name of the Officer	Period
Part – 5	
REMARKS OF THE REVIEWING	OFFICER
1. Length of services under the Reviewing Officer	
2.	Do you agree
with the assessment made by the reporting officer with	
the various attributes in Part -3 & Part -4? Do you reporting officer in respect of extraordinary achiever	•
officer reported upon? (Ref: Part-3 (A) (iv) and Part-4 (5))	
(In case you do not agree with any of the numerical assessm assessment in the column provided for you in that section and initi	
Yes No	
2. In case of disagreement, please specify the reasons let	hara anything you wish to
In case of disagreement, please specify the reasons. Is the modify or add?	nere anything you wish to
4. Pen Picture by Reviewing Officer. Please comment (in	about 100 words) on the overall
qualities of the officer including area of strengths and towards weaker sections.	lesser strength and his attitude
towards weaker sections.	

Name of the Officer	Period
5. Overall numerical grading on the b Section-C in Part-3 of the Report.	pasis of weightage given in Section- A, Section-B and
	Signature of the Reviewing Officer
	Name in Block Letters:
Place:	Designation:
Date:	During the period of Report:

Guidelines regarding filling up of APAR with numerical grading

- (i) The columns in the APAR should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time.
- (ii) It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- (iii) APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as "Outstanding" and will be given a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- (iv) APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as "Very Good" and will be given a score of 7.
- (v) APARs graded between 4 and short of 6 will be rated as "Good" and given a score of 5.
- (vi) APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of "Zero".